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Abstract:

In this contribution we present a tool for modeling and simulation with reconfigurable Petri nets. Taking the idea of algebraic graph transformations to marked Petri nets we obtain Petri nets whose net structure can be changed dynamically. The rule-based change of the net structure enables the adequate modeling of complex, dynamic structures as for example of the scenarios of the Living Place Hamburg. The tool RECONNET uses decorated place/transition nets that are extended by various annotations. Especially, they have transition labels that may change when the transition fires. The transformation approach is based on the well-known algebraic transformation approach, but here we use a variant, namely the cospan approach, that inverts the relation between left- and right-hand sides and interface in the rules.
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1 Motivation

Reconfigurable Petri nets [EP03, LO04, EHP+07, PEHP08] are a powerful and intuitive formalism for describing complex systems with dynamic structures that has been available since 2003. The characteristic feature is the possibility to discriminate between different levels of change. In this paper we present a tool that directly allows modeling and simulating reconfigurable place/transition nets. The main motivation for the tool RECONNET is the formal description of scenarios from the Living Place Hamburg, that is a smart home under constant development since 2009. It is a loft apartment with dynamic mapping of functions to spaces according to the respective situation of the resident (e.g. bedroom, kitchen, living room). The Living Place Hamburg is a laboratory for applied research in different areas of ambient intelligence and covers different areas of IT-based urban living. Scenarios of the Living Place Hamburg describe the way the resident interacts with the smart home. One scenario illustrates for example an everyday procedure of the resident at the Living Place and how the system can accomplish its aim to support the residents of the Living Place in his everyday procedure. In order to achieve a better understanding, we want to abstract from sensor data using nondeterminism instead of complicated control structures. Reconfigurable place/transition nets are suitable for this purpose, as they offer the possibility to differentiate between the resident’s actions and the smart home’s reaction. The smart home’s reaction is modeled by the change of the infrastructure that is given by the underlying place/transition net structure. In a comprehensive case study [Rei12] the “morning scenarios” of the Living Place are modeled using reconfigurable place/transition nets. For illustration in Fig. 2 in Section 3 a screenshot is given with a rule similar to those in the case
study. It shows the rule ringAlarm that belongs to a “morning scenario”. The rule models
the extension of the scenario in case an alarm clock should be used. The transition wakeUp
is replaced dynamically by the net R, describing a simple alarm clock with a snooze function.
Unfortunately for the case study [Rei12] the tool ReCONNET could not be employed as both
have been developed simultaneously.

In this contribution we first summarize the underlying formal technique that is based on deco-
rated place/transition nets and net transformations in the cospan approach. Then we present the
tool ReCONNET for modeling and simulation reconfigurable place/transition nets The tool is
the result of two courses at the HAW Hamburg. Concluding remarks concern related and future
work.

2 Reconfigurable Place/Transition Nets

Using the algebraic approach to Petri nets facilitates the combination of the algebraic approach
to transformation. So, a marked place/transition net is given by $N = (P,T,\text{pre},\text{post},M)$ with
pre- and post-domain functions $\text{pre}, \text{post} : T \rightarrow P^\oplus$ and a marking $M \in P^\oplus$, where $P^\oplus$ is the free
commutative monoid over the set $P$ of places. For $M_1, M_2 \in P^\oplus$ we have $M_1 \leq M_2$ if $M_1(p) \leq M_2(p)$ for all $p \in P$. A transition $t \in T$ is $M$-enabled for a marking $M \in P^\oplus$ if we have $\text{pre}(t) \leq M$, and in this case the follower marking $M'$ is given by $M' = M \ominus \text{pre}(t) \oplus \text{post}(t)$ and $M[t]M'$ is
called firing step. To gain an adequate modeling technique a few new features needed to be
added. Obviously is the extension to capacities and names. More interesting are the transition
labels that may change, when the transition is fired. This allows a better coordination of transition
firing and rule application, for example can be ensured that a transition has fired (repeatedly)
before a transformation may take place.

2.1 Decorated Place/Transition Nets

In order to provide the technical basis for the modeling of scenarios we need place/transition nets
that have the following additional decorations: capacities, names for places as well as transitions
and additional transition labels that can be changed by firing that transition. This last extension
is conservative with respect to Petri nets as it does not change the net behavior. But it is crucial
for the application of the rules and provides the possibility to control the application of rules.

A decorated place/transition net is a marked place/transition net $N = (P,T,\text{pre},\text{post},M)$ to-
gether with names and labels. Based on $A_P, A_T$ a name space with $\text{name} : P \rightarrow A_P$ and
$\text{tname} : T \rightarrow A_T$ we have explicit names for places and transitions. Moreover, transitions need
to be equipped with labels that may change when the transition fires. For the correct application
of a rule it may be important that a transition has already fired. This cannot be modeled with
usual place/transition nets. Considering the tokens in the transition’s post places, does not work
as these tokens may be consumed as well. So we have to change the label of the transition. For
example, the label changes from $\text{false}$ (indicating that the transition has not yet fired) to $\text{true}$
(indicating that it has already fired). In Fig. 2 in Section 3 the transition stopAlarm in the net $K$
(or $R$) of rule ringAlarm has the following node attributes (given in the upper middle panel):
Id:28, Name: stopAlarm, Label: false, Renew: toggle, where toggle
is a function mapping false to true and the other way round. More formally, toggle can be expressed using propositional logic \( \text{toggle}(x) := \neg x \).

Formalizing this notion we introduce a set \( W \) of changing labels for each transition and a function \( tlb: T \rightarrow W \) mapping transitions to transition labels \( W \). Then each transition is decorated with an endomorphism on \( W \), i.e. a function that maps labels to labels. This decoration is given by the function \( \text{rnw}: T \rightarrow \text{END} \) where \( \text{END} \) is a set containing some endomorphisms on \( W \), so that \( \text{rnw}(t): W \rightarrow W \) is the function that renews the transition label. Note that a partition of the changing labels \( (W_t)_{t \in T} \) with respect to the transitions can easily be obtained (see [Pad12]). These labels are important for the control of the rules, but not for the net’s behavior.

The firing of the extended nets is the same as in place/transition net except for the changing transition labels. Moreover, this extension works for parallel firing as well. Given a transition vector \( v = \sum_{t \in T} k_t \cdot t \) then the label is renewed by firing \( tlb[v] \cdot tlb' \) and \( tlb' \) is computed by:

\[
tlb'(t) = \text{rnw}(t)^k \circ tlb(t)
\]

Analogously to the marking the transition labels also evolve during the token game.

### 2.2 Net Transformations in the Cospan-Approach

The cospan approach is a variant of algebraic transformations - namely the double pushout (DPO) approach - where the left-hand side and right-hand side of the rule are embedded in the interface \( (L \rightarrow K \leftarrow R) \), hence cospan. In contrast to the classical DPO approach (where to morphisms starting from the interface \( L \leftarrow K \rightarrow R \) we add new items first and then delete (some of) the old items in the second step.

In [EHP09] the cospan DPO approach has been shown to be equivalent to the classical DPO approach. In this way we are able to switch between these two approaches and, finally, omit the interface in the graphical description. In this paper we use the cospan DPO approach, because from implementation point of view, it is often more convenient to add the new items first and delete some of the old items in a second step. This idea is adopted in the cospan DPO approach where a rule is given by a cospan of morphisms, while a transformation step via a cospan rule is still defined by two pushouts. Roughly spoken, in the classical DPO approach the intermediate net obtained by rule application is often full of holes like Swiss cheese, while in the cospan DPO approach this net includes the source net and the target net.

![Diagram](a) DPO span (b) DPO cospan

Figure 1: Comparing and cospan (DPO) approach
For example in Fig. 1(a) the rule is in the DPO approach and the rule in Fig. 1(b) is in the cospan DPO approach. But both of these two rules replace the transition on the left-hand side by one on the right-hand side, that has a further place in its post-domain. In contrast to the classical approach in the cospan DPO approach we are able to relate the old and new items in an easy way. The interface of this cospan rule (see Fig. 1(b)) states for example that the environment of both transitions have to have at least the same places in the pre- and post-domain.

Thus, on the one hand several properties could be formulated in a more intuitive way and on the other hand some aspects could be investigated that have escaped our attention in the classical DPO approach. The main results in [HEH10] are not only sufficient (as in [EHP+07] using the classical approach) but also necessary conditions, so that a transformation step and a firing step can be executed in arbitrary order. Moreover in future work we will consider further property preserving net transformations in the cospan DPO approach. Of special interest are strongly connectivity and liveness and we expect that especially these properties could be formulated and proven in a more intuitive way in the cospan DPO approach.

For decorated place/transition nets as given above, we obtain with the following notion of morphisms an $\mathcal{H}$-adhesive HLR-category (see [Pad12]). $\mathcal{H}$-adhesive HLR systems can be considered as a unifying framework for graph and Petri net transformations providing enough structure that most notions and results from algebraic graph transformation systems are available (e.g. in [EEPT06, EGH+12]).

Morphisms are given as a pair of mappings for the places and the transitions, so that the structure and the decoration is preserved and the marking may be mapped strictly. Given two nets $N_i = (P_i, T_i, \text{pre}_i, \text{post}_i, \text{M}_i, \text{pname}_i, \text{tname}_i, \text{tlb}_i, \text{rnw}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ then the morphism $f : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ is given by $f = (f_P, f_T)$ the mapping of places to places and the mapping of transitions to transitions. Additionally, the usual equations have to hold that ensure the preservation of markings and of labels [Pad12].

Given a decorated place/transition net and a rule together with an occurrence morphism $o$, the a transformation step $\langle N_1, M_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{(\text{rule}, o)} \langle N_2, M_2 \rangle$ consists of the following pushout diagrams (1) and (2).

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{c}
(\text{L}, \text{M}_\text{L}) \\
\downarrow \text{o}
\end{array}
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
(\text{K}, \text{M}_\text{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{l}} (\text{R}, \text{M}_\text{R})
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

A rule in the cospan approach is given by the left-hand side, interface and right-hand side net, respectively, and a cospan of two net morphisms $l$ and $r$. An occurrence morphism $o$ identifies the relevant parts of the left-hand side in the given net $(N_1, M_1)$. Then a transformation step $\langle N_1, M_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{(\text{rule}, o)} \langle N_2, M_2 \rangle$ can be constructed in two steps, provided that gluing conditions hold. The characterization of specific points is a sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the so-called pushout complement which is needed for the second step in a transformation.

This construction as well as a huge amount of notion and results are available since decorated place/transition nets can be proven to be an $\mathcal{H}$-adhesive HLR category (see [Pad12]). Hence we can combine one net together with a set of rules leading to reconfigurable place/transition nets.

ReConNet
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A reconfigurable place/transition net $RN = ((N, M), R)$ is given by

- a decorated place/transition net $N = (P, T, \text{pre, post, pname, tname, cap, tlb, rnw})$ and its marking $M$ and

- a set of rules $R$, where rules $\text{rule}$ are given in the cospan approach $\text{rule} = (L, M_L) \rightarrow (K, M_K) \leftarrow (R, M_R)$.

3 RECONNET: Editor and Simulator

The software tool RECONNET (REConfigurable NET) has been developed so that the modeling and simulation capabilities of reconfigurable nets are supported adequately. The most important feature of the tool is the ability to create, modify and simulate reconfigurable nets in a single tool through an intuitive graphic-based user interface. RECONNET is completely implemented in Java 6, therefore being fully portable. For the purposes of the user interface, SWING [SWI] was found to be suitable. In combination with JUNG [JUN], the JAVA universal network/graph framework, a first net rendering and editing component can be forged readily. For more customized net editing capabilities, many JUNG behaviors need to be overwritten or to be used in custom classes.

3.1 Editor and Simulator

The most important aspects of RECONNET are reflected in its graphical user interface. There are two different areas for nets and rules, underlining the importance of both those constructs. The user can basically choose among four modes that can be operated in. Note in Fig. 2 the checkbox with the options: pick (Auswählen), insert arc (Kante einfügen), insert place (Stelle einfügen), and insert transition (Transition einfügen). In the pick mode, the user can click on nodes (i.e. a place or a transition) to select them to highlight them or edit its attributes like marking. Also transition labels and the renew function can be set by typing into the attribute table in the top middle. Nodes can be easily moved by drag-and-drop. When the user drag-and-drops onto the white space, the whole net is moved. By scrolling the mouse wheel the zoom function can be used. When right-clicking a node, it can be deleted (also its incident arcs) or a color can be assigned. Colors do not interfere with the graph matching or any logical function at all. All of this applies to the rule editing, also. The renew function is restricted to one of the three modes: id, that does not change the labels, being the identity function, toggle, that swaps the Boolean values true and false, and count, that increases an integer given as the label. In the arc mode, arcs can be added by drag-and-dropping among nodes of different types. In the place and transition mode, places or transitions can be added to the net by clicking onto the white space.

Adding a node (i.e. a place or a transition) into a rule needs to differ from the procedure for nets. For each node that is created in one of the rule’s panels, one or two corresponding node are inserted to one or two other panels of the rule. This ensures that there are only injective morphisms from the left and right side to the interface. Moreover, a unique color is auto-generated and assigned to the new places illustrating the underlying morphism.
Figure 2: Screenshot of RECONNet’s GUI
This procedure also enables the user to quickly model the basic functions of a rule: By adding a node to the left part of the rule $L$, it automatically is added into the interface $K$, this leads to the deletion of the node when applying the rule. If a node is added into the interface $K$, it is added in both left and right parts of the rule to ensure being part of the matching subgraphs. Adding a node into the right-hand side $R$ of the rule also inserts the node into $K$, meaning this node is added when the rule is applied. The same treatment is used for arcs as well except the coloring.

Another important feature of ReCONNET is the simulation of nets, which can be done in different fashions. First of all there is a button to fire one transition. The transition is chosen randomly among those that are active. A transition is represented as a dark gray rectangle if it is activated and as a light gray rectangle if not. The follower marking is computed and the tokens are moved accordingly. The application of rules leads to the transformation of the net. The rule that is applied to the displayed net is chosen randomly among those who are selected in the left hand overview. Also the morphism is found in a non-deterministic fashion. If there is an injective match morphism, then the gluing conditions will be checked for the intermediate net. Then the resulting net is computed and displayed.

Additionally there are two more advanced simulation options - $k$ steps ($k$ Schritte) and running simulation - which can operate in three different modes: tokens (Nur Tokenspiel), transformation (Transformation) and both (Beides). The $k$ steps options executes a definable amount of steps on the net. In the token mode only transitions are fired, in the transformation mode only rules are applied. In the both mode it is randomly chosen whether a transition is fired or a rule is applied for each step. Those three operations can be applied by $k$ steps instantly, but also in a simulation running mode, which can be set to run fast or slow in 10 speed levels from 1 step every 2 seconds to basically as fast as the running system can offer.

### 3.2 Architecture and Persistency

The software is designed with component based architecture to allow refinement throughout the process of development. Thus its functionalities are divided into the five broad components Petrinet, Transformation, Engine, Persistence and GUI. While Petrinet and Transformation offer the more abstract functionalities of nets and rules, the Engine combines them to a more tool-specific interface, transforming data, checking correctness of user input, performing simulations and managing session data. On top is the GUI component that defines the displays and controls of nets and rules. Aside from that, the Persistence component is adjoined by the engine to load and store Petri nets, rules and simulation results to the local file system.

Since interoperability is a desirable feature, we have used for storing place/transition nets XML schemata as given by the PNML standard (e.g. in [HKPT10]). Parsing XML files is implemented via JAXB [JAX], the JAVA architecture for XML binding. It enables to access XML files as an object tree with special classes for each type of XML element. This method requires less high level custom code for parsing the XML, rather a set of classes similar to structs that need to be written or generated. One of its downfalls is the disability to partly load an XML file into the memory that might get problematic for large nets on computers with little memory. Saving nets and rules in XML files rather than a serialized form, enables the interaction with other tools via standardized formats, later on. For rules there are no XML schemata available in the PNML standard. Therefore we have developed the following approach for saving rules.
Each part of a rule is a net element, just as a Petri net. In addition it has a net type attribute which can have one of three values L, K and R. Also each net element in K has its own id which is shared with their respective counterpart in L and R. A node that occurs in all parts of a rule will appear in each three net elements of the rule with always the same attributes and always the same id. This id is used to encode the corresponding morphism form \( L \subseteq K \) and \( K \supseteq R \). In Fig. 3 in the appendix an excerpt of the XML-code of the rule ringAlarm from Fig. 2 is given that represents the rule’s left-hand side \( L \).

4 Conclusion

To conclude this paper we discuss related and future work. Up to now there have been two tools that also implement some kind of reconfigurable Petri nets. MCREnet [LO05] is a tool for the specification, modeling, simulation, and verification of concurrent systems that are subject to dynamic changes by using Marked-Controlled Reconfigurable Nets. In addition to the Petri nets it is equipped with a configuration graph, a labeled directed graph whose nodes are the configurations.

The Reconfigurable Object Nets (RON) Editor [BEHM07, BM08, BEMS08] integrates transition firing and rule-based net structure transformation of place/transition nets during system simulation. In contrast to our approach they are high-level nets with two types of token: object nets (place/transition nets) and net transformation rules (a dedicated type of graph transformation rules). Firing of high-level transitions may involve firing of object net transitions, transporting object net token through the high-level net, and applying net transformation rules to object nets. Net transformations include net modifications such as merging or splitting of object nets, and net refinement. Nevertheless the RON Editor is capable of simulating reconfigurable place/transition nets by putting all place/transition nets as high-level tokens on high-level object-net places, putting all rules on high-level net reconfiguration rule places, and connecting a rule place with a net place by a transition that triggers the application of a net transformation rule to an object net. Moreover the RON Editor supports negative application conditions [BM08] and an independence analysis of net transitions [BEMS08].

In [GN11] the Living Place Hamburg is modeled using Algebraic High-Level Nets with Individual Token, short AHLI nets, as well as rule-based transformation of such nets following the double pushout approach. In that thesis the focus was on the detailed modeling of the data types.

Since the scenario modeling in [Rei12] makes use of negative application conditions as well as capacities these are the obvious extensions that need to be implemented next. In [Pad12] the construction of a reachability graph for reconfigurable place/transition nets is given. Moreover, the modeled scenarios - due to negative application conditions and capacities – are bounded leading to bounded reachability graph and hence to the corresponding possibilities of model checking.
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Appendix

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="yes" ?>
<pnml
  nodeSize="50.0"
  type="rule"
  xmlns="http://www.pnml.org/version-2009/grammar/pnml">
  <net id="8" nettype="L">
    <page>
      <arc id="21" source="17" target="13">
        <graphics>
          <position/>
        </graphics>
        <inscription>
          <text>undefined</text>
        </inscription>
      </arc>
      ...
      <place id="10">
        <graphics>
          <position x="68.0" y="23.0"/>
        </graphics>
        <initialMarking>
          <text>1</text>
        </initialMarking>
        <placeName>
          <text>with alarm</text>
        </placeName>
      </place>
      <place id="13">
        <graphics>
          <position x="281.0" y="215.0"/>
        </graphics>
        <initialMarking>
          <text>0</text>
        </initialMarking>
        <placeName>
          <text>awoken</text>
        </placeName>
      </place>
      ...
    </page>
  </net>
  <net id="7" nettype="K">
    <page>
      ...
    </page>
  </net>
</pnml>
```

Figure 3: Left-hand side of rule `ringAlarm` as XML document